



DD/A Registry  
File 017-2

ODP 8-716  
24 April 1978

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director for Administration

FROM : Clifford D. May, Jr.  
Director of Data Processing

SUBJECT : FY 1978 Review of Major ADP Projects

REFERENCE : Draft memo to EAG fm DDCI dtd 20 Apr 78,  
same subject (DD/A 78-1690)

Jack:

1. I reviewed the initial drafts of these two memos last week and suggested some changes. Most of the changes are reflected in these drafts but there is still room for some editing for further clarification. I have noted several places where it is not clear if the cost thresholds are annual or for the duration of the project.

2. The issue of comprehensive Agency-wide ADP planning is being avoided. If I am expected to support the EAG in this area, I feel that I should be directed to request resources in the FY-80 Program to provide this support. I suggested this guidance be included in para. 6. of the memo that addresses the need for a formal, long-range Agency ADP plan. However, no mention is made of an ODP role in providing Agency-wide planning support. If you share my concern over obtaining resources for this purpose, you could raise the question during the meeting.



Clifford D. May, Jr.

STATINTL

Att:  
Reference

ADMINISTRATIVE-INTERNAL USE ONLY

Approved For Release 2002/01/15 : CIA-RDP81-00142R000300080014-9

1978

78-0426

78-1690

MEMORANDUM FOR: Executive Advisory Group Members  
FROM : Deputy Director of Central Intelligence  
SUBJECT : FY 1978 Review of Major ADP Projects

1. (A/IUO) The purpose of this memorandum is to record decisions made by the EAG in its review of major ADP projects supported by the Office of Data Processing (ODP) in FY 1978 and to address other related ADP management issues.
2. (A/IUO) All projects reviewed are approved and ODP will support them in FY 1978 to the extent indicated during the individual project reviews. ODP will monitor the costs incurred within ODP for each project and will concurrently notify the user office and the Comptroller when incurred costs reach 75 percent of the approval level. User offices will be responsible for validating their project requirements for the remainder of the fiscal year. If increased requirements result in ODP costs projected to exceed the approval level by more than 10 percent, user offices will provide to the Comptroller, within ten working days of the ODP notification, justification for continued fiscal year ODP support. The justification will include:
  - an explanation for the increased ODP services;
  - a new projection of ODP services required for the remainder of the fiscal year; and

21/6

Approved For Release 2002/01/15 : CIA-RDP81-00142R000300080014-9

ADMINISTRATIVE-INTERNAL USE ONLY

Approved For Release 2002/01/15 : CIA-RDP81-00142R000300080014-9

--a statement addressing the availability of ODP resources to provide the increased services and the impact on the user organization if the increase is not approved. The Comptroller will arrange for EAG review of the increased funding request.

3. (A/IUO) ODP will concurrently inform the Comptroller and user offices in all instances where ongoing ODP-supported FY 1978 projects, not previously reviewed by the EAG, are estimated to grow to \$250 thousand or more. The user office will provide to the Comptroller, within ten working days of notification, a completed Project Decision Form. The Comptroller will arrange for EAG review of the project.

4. (A/IUO) New FY 1978 ADP projects estimated to require \$100 thousand or more of ODP resources in FY 1978 will require EAG approval prior to initiation. The user office will submit a completed Project Decision Form to the Comptroller, who will arrange for EAG review of the project proposal.

5. (A/IUO) During this EAG review process, several management issues were raised. One such issue concerned the rapid computer technology advancements that have resulted in increasingly decentralized ADP applications in the Agency. This has resulted in increasing numbers of personnel performing ADP responsibilities (application design and development primarily) outside of the ODP sub-career service. Every available indication suggests that this trend will continue. An Agency-wide study has questioned whether, given this trend, we should establish an Agency-wide ADP career service and common, Agency-wide ADP professional standards (feasibility studies, requirements definition, specification standards, and programming standards to name a few). Advantages suggested are uniformity, career mobility

Approved For Release 2002/01/15 : CIA-RDP81-00142R000300080014-9

throughout the Agency, and comparable advancement opportunities for all ADP professionals. Because of the broad impact of this issue, I am asking that the Director, ODP formally study these issues and submit a report of his findings to me by 15 September 1978. I would like the report to include a full discussion of:

- the pros and cons of each specific issue;
- alternative solutions and the manner in which each would be implemented and maintained, if approved;
- the definition of an "ADP professional" and the approximate number of Agency personnel that would, if approved, be included in an expanded ADP career service;
- the impact on Agency components with "resident" ADP professionals and ongoing ADP programs; and
- conclusions reached and recommendations offered.

The Director, ODP should consult with the Office of Personnel (OP) on the career service aspects of this study and include OP guidance in discussing alternatives and recommendations. It is my intention that this study will be made available to EAG members and fully discussed.

6. (A/IUO) Another issue raised during this review process was the need for a formal, long-range Agency ADP plan. There is some sentiment for this within the Intelligence Community but specific requirements for such a plan have not as yet crystalized. We will give more attention to this issue as future needs dictate.

Frank C. Carlucci

MEMORANDUM FOR: Executive Advisory Group Members

FROM : Deputy Director of Central Intelligence

SUBJECT : Management of Automatic Data Processing Resources

REFERENCE : DDCI Memo to EAG Members, dtd. 21 April 78, Subject:  
FY 1978 Review of Major ADP Projects

1. (A/IUO) The purpose of this memorandum is to set forth Agency policy with respect to continuing Executive Advisory Group (EAG) involvement in the management of Agency Automatic Data Processing (ADP) resources. In the formulation and prioritization of the Agency's budget, ADP resource requirements should be evaluated within the context of component and directorate responsibilities and should compete with other available component resources (i.e., positions, contractor support, and the like). The EAG's review and management of ADP will therefore be concentrated on Agency-level considerations—utilization of the central ADP facility and the relationship of ODP costs to specific user office missions which directly benefit from these expenditures, long-range planning, and issues relating to the question of centralized/decentralized application of ADP technology.

2. (A/IUO) The EAG will, in conjunction with its review of the Agency's Program Plan each year, specifically focus attention on the proposed functional uses of ADP and on proposed major ADP investments. Included will be reviews of:

- a. Component ADP activities which in the aggregate exceed \$250 thousand of component-budgeted resources;

b. Component projects supported by ODP which individually require \$250 thousand of ODP services;

c. New ADP initiatives identified in program plans;

d. Expansion of ODP computing or service capacity;

and

e. The impact of the ZBB ranking process on requested ADP resources.

3. (A/IUO) The EAG will, during the first quarter of each fiscal year, review the planned current-year utilization of the central system (i.e., ODP) and at its discretion, other large ADP activities.

a. The annual review of the central system will consist of examining projects—normally defined as individual user requirements—estimated to consume \$250 thousand of ODP resources in that fiscal year. Users and ODP will jointly present each project in accordance with criteria established by the EAG. This review will result in an EAG-approved plan for the consumption of ODP resources for the fiscal year that is sensitive both to user needs and to the level of resources that can be committed to the central ADP facility.

b. Concurrent with (a) above, the EAG will review, with ODP assistance, current-year component implementation plans for new ADP initiatives and expanded decentralized ADP capacity, the planning for which was reviewed some 15 months prior (i.e. the program plan). This review will primarily be directed towards changes in requirements and available technological alternatives.

c. The combination of (a) and (b) above are intended to insure that Agency ADP resources are being applied to those important problems where a high, economically acceptable payoff can be demonstrated or projected.

4. (A/IUO) New requirements levied on ODP subsequent to the fall review described in paragraph 3(a) that are initially projected to require \$100 thousand in ODP resources will require EAG approval prior to implementation. Likewise, ongoing projects not initially estimated to consume \$250 thousand of ODP resources (and, therefore, not reviewed in the fall) will require EAG approval if updated estimates indicate that ODP costs will exceed the review threshold. In each of the above cases, user offices will submit required data to the Comptroller, who will arrange for EAG review. User offices will be guided by the instructions included in the referent memorandum.

5. (A/IUO) The policies established herein are intended to ensure that senior Agency management remains positively involved in ADP matters, both as a sound management practice and to assure external reviewers that our utilization of requested ADP resources is necessary, beneficial, and firmly under control.

Frank C. Carlucci

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM			
UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENTIAL	
<b>OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP</b>			
<i>EC 100A 4/21/78</i>			
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1	EAG Members, DDA		
2			
3			
4			
5		<i>hy</i>	
6			
ACTION		DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE REPLY
APPROVAL		DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION
COMMENT		FILE	RETURN
CONCURRENCE		INFORMATION	SIGNATURE
<b>Remarks:</b>  Attached for your review are two draft memoranda (EAG 21/b) that will be discussed at our 25 April meeting. These memoranda are intended to document our review of FY 1978 major ADP projects supported in ODP, to address some related management issues, and to establish our continuing involvement in the management of Agency ADP resources.  cc: Mr. May for review. 4/21/78			
<b>STATINTL</b> FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER			
AND PHONE NO.		DATE	
James H. Taylor, Secretary, EAG		4/21/78	
UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENTIAL	
		SECRET	